

NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS ER-101

# A SEVERE WEATHER CLIMATOLOGY FOR THE RALEIGH, NC, COUNTY WARNING AREA

CLYDE BRANDON LOCKLEAR

National Weather Service Weather Forecast Office Raleigh, NC

Scientific Services Division Eastern Region Headquarters Bohemia, New York May 2008

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Weather Service

#### NOAA TECHNICAL MEMORANDA National Weather Service, Eastern Region Subseries

The National Weather Service Eastern Region (ER) Subseries provides an informal medium for the documentation and quick dissemination of results not appropriate, or not yet ready for formal publications. The series is used to report on work in progress, to describe technical procedures and practices, or to relate progress to a limited audience. These Technical Memoranda will report on investigations devoted primarily to regional and local problems of interest mainly to ER personnel, and usually will not be widely distributed.

Papers 1 to 22 are in the former series, ESSA Technical Memoranda, Eastern Region Technical Memoranda (ERTM); papers 23 to 37 are in the former series, ESSA Technical Memoranda, Weather Bureau Technical Memoranda (WBTM). Beginning with 38, the papers are now part of the series, NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS.

Papers 1 to 22 are available from the National Weather Service Eastern Region, Scientific Services Division, 630 Johnson Avenue, Bohemia, NY, 11716. Beginning with 23, the papers are available from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Sills Bldg., 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161. Prices vary for paper copy and for microfiche. Order by accession number shown in parentheses at end of each entry.

#### ESSA Technical Memoranda

ERTM	$\frac{1}{2}$	Local Uses of Vorticity Prognoses in Weather Prediction. Carlos R. Dunn. April 1965.					
ERTM	2	Application of the Barotropic Vorticity Prognostic Field to the Surface Forecast Problem. Silvio G. Simplicio. July 1965.					
ERTM	3	A Technique for Deriving an Objective Precipitation Forecast Scheme for Columbus, Ohio. Robert Kuessner. September					
ERTM	4	1965. Stepwise Procedures for Developing Objective Aids for Forecasting the Probability of Precipitation. Carlos R. Dunn.					
ERTM	5	November 1965. A Comparative Verification of 300 mb. Winds and Temperatures Based on NMC Computer Products Before and After					
ERTM	6	Manual Processing. Silvio G. Simplicio. March 1966. Evaluation of OFDEV Technical Note No. 17. Richard M. DeAngelis. March 1966.					
ERTM	7	Verification of Probability of Forecasts at Hartford, Connecticut, for the Period 1963-1965. Robert B. Wassall. March 1966.					
ERTM	8	Forest-Fire Pollution Episode in West Virginia, November 8-12, 1964. Robert O. Weedfall. April 1966.					
ERTM	9	The Utilization of Radar in Meso-Scale Synoptic Analysis and Forecasting. Jerry D. Hill.March 1966.					
ERTM	10	Preliminary Evaluation of Probability of Precipitation Experiment, Carlos R. Dunn. May 1966.					
ERTM	11	Final Report. A Comparative Verification of 300 mb. Winds and Temperatures Based on NMC Computer Products Before and After Manual Processing. Silvio G.Simplicio. May 1966.					
ERTM	12	Summary of Scientific Services Division Development Work in Sub-Synoptic Scale Analysis and Prediction - Fiscal Year 1966. Fred L. Zuckerberg. May 1966.					
ERTM	13	A Survey of the Role of Non-Adiabatic Heating and Cooling in Relation of the Development of Mid-Latitude Synoptic					
ERTM	14	Systems. Constantine Zois. July 1966. The Forecasting of Extratropical Onshore Gales at the Virginia Capes. Glen V. Sachse. August 1966. Solar Radiation and Clover Temperatures. Alex J. Kish. September 1966.					
ERTM	15	Solar Radiation and Clover Temperatures. Alex J. Kish. September 1966.					
ERTM ERTM	16 17	The Effects of Dams, Reservoirs and Levees on River Forecasting, Richard M. Greening, September 1966, Use of Reflectivity Measurements and Reflectivity Profiles for Determining Severe Storms, Robert E. Hamilton, October 1966.					
ERTM	18	Procedure for Developing a Nomograph for Use in Forecasting Phenological Events from Growing Degree Days. John C. Purvis and Milton Brown. December 1966.					
ERTM	19	Snowfall Statistics for Williamsport, Pa. Jack Hummel. January 1967					
ERTM	20	Forecasting Maturity Date of Snap Beans in South Carolina. Alex J. Kish. March 1967.					
ERTM	21 22	New England Coastal Fog. Richard Fay. April 1967. Rainfall Probability at Five Stations Near Pickens, South Carolina, 1957-1963. John C. Purvis, April 1967.					
ERTM WBTM I		A Study of the Effect of Sea Surface Temperature on the Areal Distribution of Radar Detected Precipitation Over the South					
WDIWI	LK 25	Carolina Coastal Waters. Edward Paquet. June 1967. (PB-180-612).					
WBTM I WBTM I	ER 24 ER 25	An Example of Radar as a Tool in Forecasting Tidal Flooding. Edward P. Johnson. August 1967 (PB-180-613). Average Mixing Depths and Transport Wind Speeds over Eastern United States in 1965. Marvin E. Miller. August 1967. (PB-180-614).					
WBTM I	ER 26	The Sleet Bright Band. Donald Marier. October 1967. (PB-180-615).					
WBTM I	ER 27	A Study of Areas of Maximum Echo Tops in the Washington, D.C. Area During the Spring and Fall Months. Marie D. Fellechner. April 1968. (PB-179-339).					
WBTM I		Washington Metropolitan Area Precipitation and Temperature Patterns. C.A. Woollum and N.L. Canfield. June 1968. (PB-179-340).					
WBTM I		Climatological Regime of Rainfall Associated with Hurricanes after Landfall. Robert W. Schoner. June 1968. (PB-179-341).					
WBTM I WBTM I		Monthly Precipitation - Amount Probabilities for Selected Stations in Virginia. M.H. Bailey. June 1968. (PB-179-342). A Study of the Areal Distribution of Radar Detected Precipitation at Charleston, S.C. S.K. Parrish and M.A. Lopez. October 1968. (PB-180-480).					
WBTM I	ER 32	The Meteorological and Hydrological Aspects of the May 1968 New Jersey Floods. Albert S. Kachic and William Long. February 1969. (Revised July 1970). (PB-194-222).					
WBTM I	ER 33	A Climatology of Weather that Affects Prescribed Burning Operations at Columbia, South Carolina. S.E. Wasserman and J.D. Kanupp. December 1968. (COM-71-00194).					
WBTM I		A Review of Use of Radar in Detection of Tornadoes and Hail, R.E. Hamilton, December 1969, (PB-188-315).					
WBTM I		Objective Forecasts of Precipitation Using PE Model Output. Stanley E. Wasserman. July 1970. (PB-193-378). Summary of Radar Echoes in 1967 Near Buffalo, N.Y. Richard K. Sheffield. September 1970. (COM-71-00310).					
WBTM I WBTM I		Summary of Radar Echoes in 1967 Near Buffalo, N.Y. Richard K. Sheffield. September 1970. (COM-71-00310). Objective Mesoscale Temperature Forecasts. Joseph P. Sobel. September 1970. (COM-71-0074).					
NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS							
NWS	ER 38	Use of Primitive Equation Model Output to Forecast Winter Precipitation in the Northeast Coastal Sections of the United					
NWC	ED 20	States, Stanley E. Wasserman and Härvey Rosenblum. December 1970. (COM-71-00138).					
NWS NWS	ER 39 ER 40	A Preliminary Climatology of Air Quality in Ohio. Marvin E. Miller. January 1971. (COM-71-00204). Use of Detailed Radar Intensity Data in Mesoscale Surface Analysis. Robert E. Hamilton. March 1971. (COM-71-00573).					
NWS	ER 40 ER 41	A Relationship Between Snow Accumulation and Snow Intensity as Determined from Visibility Stanley F Wasserman and					
		A Relationship Between Snow Accumulation and Snow Intensity as Determined from Visibility. Stanley E. Wasserman and Daniel J. Monte. (COM-71-00763). January 1971.					
NWS	ER 42	A Case Study of Radar Determined Rainfall as Compared to Rain Gage Measurements. Martin Ross. July 1971. (COM-71-00897).					
NWS	ER 43	Snow Squalls in the Lee of Lake Erie and Lake Ontario. Jerry D. Hill. August 1971. (COM-72-00959).					
NWS NWS	ER 44 ER 45	Forecasting Precipitation Type at Greer, South Carolina. John C. Purvis. December 1971. (COM-72-10332). Forecasting Type of Precipitation. Stanley E. Wasserman. January 1972. (COM-72-10316).					
TA MA D	EK 43	rorecasting type of recipitation. Stanley E. wasserman. January 1972. (COM-72-10510).					

(CONTINUED ON INSIDE REAR COVER)





#### **NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS ER-101**

### A SEVERE WEATHER CLIMATOLOGY FOR THE RALEIGH, NC COUNTY WARNING AREA

#### CLYDE BRANDON LOCKLEAR

National Weather Service Office Raleigh, NC

> Scientific Services Division Eastern Region Headquarters Bohemia, New York May 2008

United States Department of Commerce Carlos M. Gutierrez Secretary

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Conrad C. Lautenbaucher, Jr. Under Secretary and Administrator National Weather Service John L. Hayes Assistant Administrator

1. Introduction
2. Data and Methodology
3. Topography and Demographics of County Warning Area
3.1 Topography
3.2 Demographics
4. Tornado Climatology
4.1 Monthly Distribution
4.2 Hourly Distribution
4.3 Intensity (Fujita)5
4.4 Association with Tropical Systems
5. Hail Climatology
5.1 Monthly Distribution
5.2 <u>Hourly Distribution</u> 7
5.3 Magnitude (Hail Size)7
6. Severe Thunderstorm Wind Climatology7
6.1 Monthly Distribution7
6.2 Hourly Distribution7
7. Conclusions

#### **Table of Contents**

Acknowledgements	.9
References	.9
Figures	10

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The National Weather Service's (NWS) primary responsibility is the protection of life and property. NWS Weather Forecast Offices (WFO) are tasked with issuing severe weather watches and warnings for their areas of responsibility or County Warning Area (CWA). Across central North Carolina, the combination of abundant low-level moisture from both the Atlantic Ocean and Gulf of Mexico along with frontal boundaries that interact with this moisture often set the stage for strong to severe thunderstorm development. As a result, WFO Raleigh's CWA experiences a wide variety of severe weather phenomena, including severe thunderstorms that produce tornadoes, large hail, and damaging wind gusts. A local severe weather climatology is essential for determining the severe weather risk. This study provides a severe weather climatology for central North Carolina including topographical and demographic influences, frequency, magnitude, season and time of day.

#### 2. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

The data used for this study includes 4,150 documented severe weather reports (tornado, hail, and severe convective wind damage or gust reports) for all counties in the Raleigh CWA over the Period of Record (POR) of January 1, 1950 through December 31, 2005. The **SVRPLOT** program (Hart 1993: Available from [http://www.spc.noaa.gov/software/svrplot2/]), which utilizes a database of severe weather events collected by the National Severe Storms Forecast Center (NSSFC) and NOAA's National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) in Asheville, NC (National Climatic Data Center 2008) provided online access to documented severe weather events. In addition, the determination of tropical-related tornadoes was derived using the "Historical Hurricane Tracks" tool from the NOAA Coastal Services Center in Charleston, SC (NOAA Coastal Services Center 2008). All times are referenced to Local Standard Time (LST).

As defined by the NWS, a severe local storm is one that is sufficiently intense to threaten life and/or property, including thunderstorms with large hail, damaging wind, or tornadoes. More specifically, severe thunderstorms (National Weather Service 2005) are further defined as a storm that includes one or more of the following:

- A tornado
- Hail of 0.75 inches (in.) in diameter or larger
- Wind of at least 50 knots (58 mph) or wind which causes damage, including trees or power lines blown down

# **3. TOPOGRAPHY AND DEMOGRAPHICS OF THE COUNTY WARNING AREA**

#### 3.1 <u>Topography</u>

WFO Raleigh's CWA (Fig. 1) is comprised of 31 counties including 10 counties in the northern Piedmont, 5 counties in the central Piedmont, 4 counties in the southern Piedmont, 4 counties in the northern Coastal Plain, 3 counties in the central Coastal Plain and 5 counties in the southern Coastal Plain. Raleigh's CWA covers 16,459 square miles and includes the metropolitan areas of Raleigh, Durham and Chapel Hill (Triangle), Greensboro, Winston-Salem and High Point (Piedmont Triad), Rocky Mount-Wilson and Fayetteville.

Topography has an influence on the initiation and evolution of convective storms (Fig. 2). The two principal topographic regions that encompass Raleigh's CWA are the Piedmont and Coastal Plain. The geologic boundary between the Piedmont and Coastal Plain is called the "fall line" which stretches from near Roanoke Rapids in Halifax County southwest to near Smithfield in Johnston County to near Laurinburg in Scotland County. The Piedmont is characterized by rolling hills and soils that are mainly loam and clay loam. A notable increase in elevation occurs across the Piedmont region in the RAH CWA. Elevations generally range around 250 feet near the "fall line" and increase to around 1100 feet in the extreme northwest part of the CWA. The Coastal Plain is characterized by flat terrain with a variety of soil types that are sedimentary in nature. The elevation generally ranges from 50 feet over the extreme eastern part of the CWA to approximately around 250 feet over the western portion of the Coastal Plain near the "fall line." Within the Coastal Plain is a smaller topographic area called the "Sandhills," where sand is the primary soil type.

On sunny days, as the sun heats the earth's surface, the air mass over the Sandhills often heats up more quickly than the air over the surrounding area. The differences in these soil types can create a differential heating boundary that enhances thunderstorm development (North Carolina State Climate Office 2004). While this phenomenon alone does not determine the severity of thunderstorms, it does have an impact in defining the areas that are more or less likely to experience convective storms.

The Sandhills and Coastal Plain are in the southeastern most portion of the RAH CWA and these areas typically experience the greatest instability relative to other parts of the RAH CWA. In addition, the proximity of the Atlantic Ocean and the Piedmont Trough (Koch and Ray 1997) is a preferred location of thermal moisture boundaries that can provide enhanced convergence for thunderstorm development.

During specific synoptic patterns, a dome of cold, stable air can become established along the eastern slopes of the Appalachian Mountains. This situation is called Cold Air Damming (CAD) and it can have a significant impact on the weather between the crest of the Appalachian Mountains and the coastal plain. CAD can at times inhibit surface-based convection across the Piedmont, especially the Northwest Piedmont, which can curtail the potential for severe weather. CAD can also enhance the possibility of severe weather since the difference in temperature between the damming region and the coast can exceed 20°C during strong CAD events (Bell and Bosart 1988) and result in the development of a significant surface boundary.

#### 3.2 Demographics

One statistical bias that appears in the data and that should be noted is the population density. Population statistics were obtained from the 2005 Census. The population of WFO Raleigh's CWA is approximately 3.95 million people (North Carolina State Demographics 2005). WFO Raleigh's CWA is home to 7 of the 10 largest municipalities in the state. Although the CWA contains these large population centers, the CWA has a low population density. Outside of these population centers, the CWA is mainly rural farmland or heavily forested, and contains sparse population. This uneven distribution of people across the CWA (Fig. 3) can lead to skewing of observed severe weather events toward the more heavily populated locations (e.g., Wake County).

#### 4. TORNADO CLIMATOLOGY

There have been 284 tornadoes reported across the WFO Raleigh CWA from 1950 to 2005. All 31 counties in the WFO Raleigh CWA have had at least 2 confirmed tornadoes during that time frame. On average, five tornadoes occur within the WFO Raleigh CWA each year.

#### 4.1 Monthly Distribution

The monthly distribution of tornadoes (Fig. 4) shows the Raleigh CWA has experienced tornadoes at any time of the year. However, tornadoes are most likely to occur during the spring (March through May) when 43% or 122 of the total 284 tornadoes have occurred (Fig. 5). The most active month is May when 59 of the total 284 tornadoes or 21% have been reported. Spring is the peak tornado season in the Raleigh CWA because instability is increasing while the area is still vulnerable to strong synoptic scale systems with jet stream influence. The number of tornadoes decreases dramatically during the summer months (June through August), as the jet stream migrates north. There is a pronounced, secondary peak of tornadoes in the fall (September through November) (Fig 4). The secondary peak tornado season is explained by land-falling tropical systems or their remnants and the southward migration of the jet stream from the U.S.-Canadian border.

#### 4.2 Hourly Distribution

Diurnal trends indicate an increase in tornadoes after the noon hour (Fig. 6). Over half of all tornadoes (53%) occur between the afternoon and evening hours of 3 pm to 8 pm (LST). Tornado activity peaks in the late afternoon between 5 pm and 7 pm LST. Seventy-eight tornadoes (27% of the total) occurred during the 5 pm to 7 pm LST time frame. The data shows a gradual decrease in the occurrence of tornadoes during the evening hours, and that tornadoes occur infrequently during the late night through the mid-morning. Atmospheric instability is a key ingredient in the generation of tornadic thunderstorms and is typically maximized during the mid-to late-afternoon hours.

#### 4.3 Intensity

The Fujita Scale (F-scale) (Table 1) attempts to classify tornado intensity based on the extent of the associated wind damage (Fujita, 1981). The F-scale was updated in February, 2007 and renamed the Enhanced Fujita Scale (EF-scale). The EF-scale value can simply be

substituted for the F-scale value since only the wind speeds that are associated with a particular category (either EF or F) have been updated. Since the F-scale value, not the associated wind speed, is included in the historic tornado database, the previous data is still valid.

Of the 284 tornadoes that have been confirmed in Raleigh's CWA, over three-quarters (218 of the 284) were classified as F0 or F1 tornadoes (Fig. 7). Sixty-one tornadoes (22%) were rated strong (F2 or F3) and only 5 (2%) were rated as F4 tornadoes. Of the five F4 tornadoes, three occurred during the March 28, 1984 Carolina Tornado Outbreak. There has never been a documented F5 tornado in the RAH CWA.

A majority of the strong to violent tornadoes in the RAH CWA touch down in the Sandhills and Coastal Plain regions (Fig. 8). The Sandhills and Coastal Plain are in the southeastern most portion of the RAH CWA and these areas typically experience the greatest instability relative to other parts of the Raleigh CWA. In addition, the proximity of the Atlantic Ocean and the Piedmont Trough (Koch and Ray 1997) is a preferred location of thermal moisture boundaries that can provide enhanced shear needed for tornadogenesis.

#### 4.4 Association with Tropical Systems

During hurricane season, tropical cyclones or their remnants can track through the Southeast and Mid-Atlantic region. Tornadoes frequently occur in the northeast quadrant of northward advancing tropical systems or their remnants. Of the 284 tornadoes that were reported in Raleigh's CWA, 48 tornadoes (17%) were associated with tropical systems or their remnants. Of these, almost all (96%) were classified as weak (F0-F1) tornadoes. Additionally, tropical cyclones that produce tornadoes in the WFO Raleigh CWA can make landfall anywhere along the Gulf Coast states, the Southeast, or directly on the North Carolina coast (Fig. 9).

A record number of tornadoes were reported in 2004, and this was in large part due to the active hurricane season (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 2004). Tropical Storm Bonnie and five land-falling hurricanes (Charley, Frances, Gaston, Ivan and Jeanne) affected the Mid-Atlantic and Southeast states during August and September. In fact, the active tropical season of 2004 accounted for 10% of all of the tornadoes reported within the WFO Raleigh CWA from 1950 to 2005.

#### 5. HAIL CLIMATOLOGY

#### 5.1 Monthly Distribution

The monthly distribution of severe hail events (hail diameter equal to or exceeding 0.75 in.) indicates a strong inclination toward the spring season (Fig. 10). There is a sharp increase in the number of hail reports from March (150) to the peak month of May (417). The large majority (78%) of the hail events occur during the months of April through July. There is a significant decrease in thunderstorms that produce hail during the transition from summer to fall (103 events in August and 29 events in September). The four month period between November and February is very inactive (15 events). The peak occurrence of hail in the

spring is largely due to the existence of warm boundary layer temperatures along with relatively low cool mid levels of the atmosphere.

#### 5.2 Hourly Distribution

Consistent with the hourly tornado distribution, there is a dramatic increase in severe hail after the noon hour (Fig. 11). Nearly two-thirds of the severe hail events, 955 of the 1,453 total occurrences (66%), were during the hours of 3 pm to 8 pm (LST). A steady decline of severe hail occurrences was indicated during the late afternoon and evening hours. Severe hail was rare during the overnight and morning hours. The peak of severe hail reports during the early-to-mid afternoon hours can largely be attributed to the peak of instability during this period. Strong updrafts are important to hail formation and they largely depend on the degree of atmospheric instability.

#### 5.3 <u>Magnitude (Hail Size)</u>

More than half of the severe hail events (737 events or 51% of the total) in the Raleigh CWA were less than one-inch in diameter (Fig. 12). Occurrences of hailstones ranging from one to two inches accounted for 45% of the reports. Severe hail in excess of 2 inches in diameter accounted for only a small percentage of all severe hail events (~4%). The largest hailstone ever reported in the Raleigh CWA during the period was 4.5 inches in diameter. This softball-sized hailstone fell in Montgomery County on May 27, 1998 at 625 pm (LST) with a non-tornadic supercell thunderstorm.

#### 6. SEVERE THUNDERSTORM DAMAGING WIND CLIMATOLOGY

#### 6.1 Monthly Distribution

Strong, damaging winds resulting from severe thunderstorms are the most frequently observed severe weather event across the Raleigh CWA. The minimum wind speed for a thunderstorm to be considered severe is 58 mph (50 kts.). Over the 55-year period between 1950 and 2005, there were 2413 severe convective wind events (58% of all severe events). The frequency of severe convective wind events shows a steady increase during the spring and peaks in June (Fig. 13). Nearly 23% of the severe convective wind events (545) occurred in June. During the late spring and early summer months of May, June and July, 1,451 events or 61% of the total occurred.

#### 6.2 Hourly Distribution

Severe convective wind damage is most common during the mid-afternoon through evening hours (Fig. 14). Although severe convective wind events peak between 4 pm and 7 pm (LST), they do not decline significantly until after 9 pm (LST), when the contribution of daytime heating on atmospheric instability is lost. The majority (74% or 1,597) of all severe convective wind events occurred during the 2 pm to 9 pm (LST) time frame. Severe thunderstorm damaging wind events drop off significantly between 3 am and 8 am (LST). However, severe convective wind events may occur during any hour of the day (Fig. 13).

#### 7. CONCLUSIONS

The severe weather climatology for the WFO Raleigh CWA provides a historical resource for forecasters to use to increase their situational awareness and to better anticipate severe weather threats. Understanding the type and frequency of seasonal and diurnal severe weather events, as well as the local topography and demographics will greatly enhance severe weather warning decisions. In summary:

• Of all severe thunderstorm events in the Raleigh CWA during the 55 year study period, 58% of the events resulted from severe convective winds, 34% from hail, and less than 7% from tornadoes.

• While tornadoes can and do develop at any time of the year, over half of the tornadoes (55%) occurred between March and June, peaking during the month of May.

• A secondary peak in tornado events occurred in September, and is likely due to the impact of tropical cyclones or their remnants along with a southward adjustment of the polar jet.

• Nearly 17% of all tornadoes reported in the WFO Raleigh CWA from 1950 to 2005 were associated with a tropical cyclone or its remnants.

• More than half (53%) of all tornadoes occurred between the afternoon hours of 3 pm and 8 pm (LST) with the activity peaking between 5 pm and 7 pm (LST).

• Over three quarters (77%) of all tornado events were classified as weak tornadoes (F0 or F1). Only 5 tornadoes (2% of the total) have been reported at an F4 intensity. There were no reports of tornadoes rated as F5 intensity over the POR.

• Nearly 78% of severe hail events occurred between April and July, with 66% of events observed between the hours of 3 pm and 8 pm (LST).

• Just over half (51%) of all severe hail reports were less than one inch in diameter.

• Over half (61%) of all severe convective wind events occurred in the late spring and early summer months of May, June and July and peaked in June.

• A large majority (74%) of all severe convective wind events occurred during the 2 pm to 9 pm (LST) time frame, peaking between 4 pm and 7 pm (LST).

• Counties with a higher population density are more likely to report events while counties with lower population densities are more likely to have events that are unreported. This results in an inherent bias of severe weather events toward counties with a larger population density.

• The population in Raleigh's CWA has grown tremendously during the past 55 years. The reporting of severe weather events has increased as a result during this period.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Jeff Orrock, Warning Coordinator Meteorologist, and Scott Sharp, Lead Forecaster, at WFO Raleigh for assistance in validating data. The author would particularly like to thank Jonathan Blaes, Science Operations Office at WFO Raleigh and Kermit Keeter, former Science Operations Officer at WFO Raleigh, for their careful review of this paper and offering many helpful suggestions for improvement.

#### REFERENCES

Bell, B. D. and L. F. Bosart, 1988: Appalachian cold-air damming. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **116**, 137–161.

Fujita, T.T., 1981: Tornadoes and downbursts in the context of generalized planetary scales. J. Atmos. Sci. 38, 1511-34.

Hart, J. A., 1993: SVRPLOT: A new method of accessing and manipulating the NSSFC Severe Weather Database. Preprints, *17<sup>th</sup> Conf. on Severe Local Storms*, St. Louis, MO, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 40–41.

Koch, S.E., and C.A. Ray, 1997: Mesoanalysis of summertime convergence zones in Central and Eastern North Carolina. *Wea. Forecasting*, **12**, 56–77.

National Climatic Data Center, 2008: Storm Events. [Available online at http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwEvent~Storms]

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2004: NOAA Reports Record Number of Tornadoes in 2004. [Available online at http://www.noaanews.noaa.gov/stories2004/s2359.htm]

National Weather Service, 2005: National Weather Service Instruction 10-511. [Available on-line at http://www.nws.noaa.gov/directives/sym/pd01005011curr.pdf]

NOAA Coastal Services Center, 2008: Historical Hurricane Tracks. [Available online at http://maps.csc.noaa.gov/hurricanes/]

NOAA, Storm Prediction Center, 2008: Enhanced F Scale for Tornado Damage. [Available on-line at http://www.spc.noaa.gov/faq/tornado/ef-scale.html]

North Carolina State Climate Office, 2004: Thunderstorms more frequent in the Sandhills Region. [Available on-line at http://www.nc-climate.ncsu.edu/climate/sandhills.html]


North Carolina State Demographics, 2005 : County/State Population Projections. [Available online at http://demog.state.nc.us/]




Figure 1. WFO Raleigh, NC (RAH) County Warning Area (CWA).



Figure 2. Topographic map of the WFO RAH CWA. Elevation shown in thousands of feet.



**Figure 3.** Comparison of projected county population density (dashed) to the number of severe events reported from 1950-2005 (solid) for the WFO Raleigh CWA.



Monthly Distribution Of All Tornado Events (1950-2005) For WFO Raleigh CWA

Figure 4. The monthly distribution of tornadoes (1950-2005) in the WFO Raleigh CWA.






Figure 5. Seasonal tornado climatology (1950 to 2005) in the WFO Raleigh CWA.



Hourly Frequency Of All Tornado Events (1950-2005) For WFO Raleigh CWA

Figure 6. The hourly distribution of tornadoes (1950-2005) in the WFO Raleigh CWA.


Tornado Intensity Distribution (1950-2005)




**Figure 7.** The tornado intensity distribution by Fujita Scale (1950-2005) in the WFO Raleigh CWA.

	FUJITA SCALI	E	DERIV	/ED EF SCALE	OPERATIONAL EF SCALE	
F Number	Fastest 1/4-mile (mph)	3 Second Gust (mph)	EF Number	3 Second Gust (mph)	EF Number	3 Second Gust (mph)
0	40-72	45-78	0	65-85	0	65-85
1	73-112	79-117	1	86-109	1	86-110
2	113-157	118-161	2	110-137	2	111-135
3	158-207	162-209	3	138-167	3	136-165
4	208-260	210-261	4	168-199	4	166-200
5	261-318	262-317	5	200-234	5	Over 200


**Table 1.** A comparison of the Fujita Scale and the updated Enhanced Fujita Scale. The Fujita scale was used to assess the intensity of tornadoes prior to February 1, 2007 (Table obtained from the NOAA, Storm Prediction Center on-line at http://spc.noaa.gov/faq/tornado/ef-scale.html).



**Figure 8**. Historical F3-F5 tornado tracks (1950-2005) in the WFO Raleigh CWA. Graphic produced via SVRPLOT software from the Storm Prediction Center, Norman, OK. The SVRPLOT software is available on-line at http://www.spc.noaa.gov/software/svrplot/index.html




**Figure 9.** Historical tropical cyclone tracks that produced tornadoes within the WFO Raleigh CWA from 1950-2005. Data courtesy of the NOAA Coastal Services Center [Data is available on-line at http://maps.csc.noaa.gov/hurricanes].



Monthly Distribution Of Severe Hail Events (1950-2005) For WFO Raleigh CWA

Figure 10. The monthly distribution of severe hail events (1950-2005) in the WFO Raleigh CWA.



Hourly Frequency Of Severe Hail Events (1950-2005) For WFO Raleigh CWA

Figure 11. The hourly distribution of severe hail events (1950-2005) in the WFO Raleigh CWA.






Figure 12. The distribution of hail size for severe hail events (1950-2005) in the WFO Raleigh CWA.



Monthly Distribution Of Severe Thunderstorm Wind Events

Figure 13. The monthly distribution of severe convective wind events (1950-2005) in the WFO Raleigh CWA.



Hourly Frequency Of Severe Thunderstorm Wind Events (1950-2005) For WFO Raleigh CWA

**Figure 14.** The hourly distribution of severe convective wind events (1950-2005) in the WFO Raleigh CWA.

NWS	ER 46	An Objective Method of Forecasting Summertime Thunderstorms. John F. Townsend and Russell J. Younkin. May 1972.
NWS NWS	ER 47 ER 48	(COM-72-10765). An Objective Method of Preparing Cloud Cover Forecasts. James R. Sims. August 1972. (COM-72-11382). Accuracy of Automated Temperature Forecasts for Philadelphia as Related to Sky Condition and Wind Direction.
NWS	ER 49	Robert B. Wassall. September 1972. (COM-72-11473). A Procedure for Improving National Meteorological Center Objective Precipitation Forecasts. Joseph A. Ronco, Jr.
NWS	ER 50	November 1972. (COM-73-10132).
NWS	ER 51	PEATMOS Probability of Precipitation Forecasts as an Aid in Predicting Precipitation Amounts. Stanley E. Wasserman. December 1972. (COM-73-10243). Frequency and Intensity of Freezing Rain/Drizzle in Ohio. Marvin E. Miller. February 1973. (COM-73-10570).
NWS NWS	ER 52 ER 53	Forecast and Warning Utilization of Radar Remote Facsimile Data. Robert E. Hamilton. July 1973. (COM-73-11275). Summary of 1969 and 1970 Public Severe Thunderstorm and Tornado Watches Within the National Weather Service,
NWS	ER 54	Eastern Region. Marvin E. Miller and Lewis H. Ramey. October 1973. (COM-74-10160) A Procedure for Improving National Meteorological Center Objective Precipitation Forecasts - Winter Season. Joseph A.
NWS NWS	ER 55 ER 56	Ronco, Jr. November 1973. (COM-74-10200). Cause and Prediction of Beach Erosion. Stanley E. Wasserman and David B. Gilhousen. December 1973.(COM-74-10036). Biometeorological Factors Affecting the Development and Spread of Planet Diseases. V.J. Valli. July 1974.
NWS NWS	ER 57 ER 58	(COM-74-11625/AS). Heavy Fall and Winter Rain In The Carolina Mountains. David B, Gilhousen. October 1974. (COM-74-11761/AS). An Analysis of Forecasters' Propensities In Maximum/Minimum Temperature Forecasts. I. Randy Racer. November 1974.
NWS	ER 59	COM-75-10063/AS). Digital Radar Data and its Application in Flash Flood Potential. David D. Sisk. March 1975. (COM-75-10582/AS).
NWS NWS	ER 60 ER 61	Use of Radar Information in Determining Flash Flood Potential. Stanley E. Wasserman. December 1975. (PB250071/AS). Improving Short-Range Precipitation Guidance During the Summer Months. David B. Gilhousen. March 1976. (PB256427). Locally Heavy Snow Downwind from Cooling Towers. Reese E. Otts. December 1976. (PB263390/AS).
NWS NWS	ER 62 ER 63	Snow in West Virginia. Marvin E. Miller. January 1977. (PB265419/AS). Wind Forecasting for the Monongahela National Forest. Donald E. Risher. August 1977. (PB272138/AS).
NWS NWS	ER 64 ER 65	A Procedure for Spraying Spruce Budworms in Maine during Stable Wind Conditions. Monte Glovinsky. May 1980.
NWS	ER 66	(PB80-203243). Contributing Factors to the 1980-81 Water Supply Drought, Northeast U.S. Solomon G. Summer. June 1981.
NWS	ER 67	(PB82-172974). A Computer Calculation and Display System for SLOSH Hurricane Surge Model Data. John F. Townsend. May 1984.
NWS	ER 68	(PB84-198753). A Comparison Among Various Thermodynamic Parameters for the Prediction of Convective Activity. Hugh M. Stone.
NWS	ER 69	April 1985. (PB85-206217/AS). A Comparison Among Various Thermodynamic Parameters for the Prediction of Convective Activity, Part II. Hugh M. Stone. December 1985. (PB86-142353/AS).
NWS NWS	ER 70 ER 71	Hurricane Gloria's Potential Storm Surge. Anthony G. Gigi and David A. Wert. July 1986. (PB86-226644/AS). Washington Metropolitan Wind Study 1981-1986. Clarence Burke, Jr. and Carl C. Ewald. February 1987. (PB87-151908/AS).
NWS NWS	ER 72 ER 73	Mesoscale Forecasting Topics. Hugh M. Stone. March 1987. (PB87-180246/AS). A Procedure for Improving First Period Model Output Statistics Precipitation Forecasts. Antonio J. Lacroix and Joseph A. Ronco. Jr. April 1987. (PB87-180238/AS).
NWS NWS	ER 74 ER 75 ER 76	The Climatology of Lake Erie's South Shoreline. John Kwiatkowski. June 1987. (PB87-205514/AS). Wind Shear as a Predictor of Severe Weather for the Eastern United States. Hugh M. Stone. January 1988. (PB88-157144).
NWS		Is There A Temperature Relationship Between Autumn and the Following Winter? Anthony Gigi. February 1988. (PB88-173224).
NWS NWS	ER 77 ER 78	River Stage Data for South Carolina. Clara Cillentine. April 1988. (PB88-201991/AS). National Weather Service Philadelphia Forecast Office 1987 NOAA Weather Radio Survey & Questionnaire. Robert P. Wanton. October 1988. (PB89-111785/AS).
NWS NWS	ER 79 ER 80	An Examination of NGM Low Level Temperature. Joseph A. Ronco, Jr. November 1988. (PB89-122543/AS). Relationship of Wind Shear, Buoyancy, and Radar Tops to Severe Weather 1988. Hugh M. Stone. November 1988. (PB89-1222419/AS).
NWS NWS	ER 81 ER 82 ER 83	Relation of Wind Field and Buoyancy to Rainfall Inferred from Radar. Hugh M. Stone. April 1989. (PB89-208326/AS). Second National Winter Weather Workshop, 26-30 Sept. 1988; Postprints. Laurence G. Lee. June 1989. (PB90-147414/AS).
NWS		A Historical Account of Tropical Cyclones that Have Impacted North Carolina Since 1586. James D. Stevenson. July 1990. (PB90-259201).
NWS	ER 84	A Seasonal Analysis of the Performance of the Probability of Precipitation Type Guidance System. George J. Maglaras and Barry S. Goldsmith. September 1990. (PB93-160802)
NWS	ER 85	The Use of ADAP to Examine Warm and Quasi-Stationary Frontal Events in the Northeastern United States. David R. Vallee. July 1991. (PB91-225037)
NWS	ER 86	Vallee. July 1991. (PB91-225037) Rhode Island Hurricanes and Tropical Storms A Fifty-Six Year Summary 1936-1991. David R. Vallee. March 1993. (PB93- 162006)
NWS NWS	ER 87 ER 88	Post-print Volume, Third National Heavy Precipitation Workshop, 16-20 Nov. 1992. April 1993. (PB93-186625) A Synoptic and Mesoscale Examination of the Northern New England Winter Storm of 29-30 January 1990. Robert A. Marine and Steven J. Capriola. July 1994. (PB94-209426)
NWS	ER 89	An Initial Comparison of Manual and Automated Surface Observing System Observations at the Atlantic City, New Jersey, International Airport. James C. Hayes and Stephan C. Kuhl. January 1995. Numerical Simulation Studies of the Mesoscale Environment Conducive to the Raleigh Tornado. Michael L. Kaplan, Robert
NWS	ER 90	Numerical Simulation Studies of the Mesoscale Environment Conducive to the Raleigh Tornado. Michael L. Kaplan, Robert A. Rozumalski, Ronald P. Weglarz, Yuh-Lang Lin, Steven Businger, and Rodney F. Gonski. November 1995.
NWS	ER 91	A Climatology of Non-convective High Wind Events in Western New York State. Thomas A. Niziol and Thomas J. Paone. April 2000.
NWS NWS	ER 92 ER 93	Tropical Cyclones Affecting North Carolina Since 1586 - An Historical Perspective. James E. Hudgins. April 2000. A Severe Weather Climatology for the Wilmington, NC WFO County Warning Area. Carl R., Morgan. October 2001.
NWS NWS	ER 94 ER 95	Surface-based Rain, Wind, and Pressure Fields in Tropical Cyclones over North Carolina since 1989. Joel Cline. June 2002. A Severe Weather Climatology for the Charleston, South Carolina, WFO County Warning Area. Stephen Brueske, Lauren
NWS	ER 96	Plourd, Matthen Volkmer. July 2002. A Severe Weather Climatology for the WFO Wakefield, VA County Warning Area, Brian T. Cullen, May 2003.
NWS	ER 97	(PB2003-105462) Severe Weather Climatology for the Columbia, SC WFO County Warning Area. Leonard C. Vaughan. September 2003.
NWS	ER 98	(PB2004-100999) Climatology of Heavy Rainfall Associated with Tropical Cyclones Affecting the Central Appalachians. James Hudgins, Steve Keighton, Kenneth Kostura, Jan Jackson. September 2005.
NWS	ER 99	A Severe Weather Climatology for the WFO Blacksburg, Virginia, County Warning Area. Robert Stonefield, James Hudgins.
NWS	ER 100	January 2007. Tropical Cyclones Affecting North Carolina Since 1586 - An Historical Perspective.
		James E. Hudgins. October 2007.

## NOAA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration was established as part of the Department of Commerce on October 3, 1970. The mission responsibilities of NOAA are to assess the socioeconomic impact of natural and technological changes in the environment and to monitor and predict the state of the solid Earth, the oceans and their living resources, the atmosphere, and the space environment of the Earth.

The major components of NOAA regularly produce various types of scientific and technical information in the following kinds of publications:

**PROFESSIONAL PAPERS**--Important definitive research results, major techniques, and special investigations.

**CONTRACT AND GRANT REPORTS**--Reports prepared by contractors or grantees under NOAA sponsorship.

**ATLAS**--Presentation of analyzed data generally in the form of maps showing distribution of rainfall, chemical and physical conditions of oceans and atmosphere, distribution of fishes and marine mammals, ionospheric conditions, etc.

#### **TECHNICAL SERVICE PUBLICATIONS--**

Reports containing data, observations, instructions, etc. A partial listing includes data serials; prediction and outlook periodicals; technical manuals, training papers, planning reports, and information serials; and miscellaneous technical publications.

**TECHNICAL REPORTS**--Journal quality with extensive details, mathematical developments, or data listings.

**TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS**--Reports of preliminary, partial, or negative research or technology results, interim instructions, and the like.



Information on availability of NOAA publications can be obtained from:

NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 PORT ROYAL ROAD SPRINGFIELD, VA 22161