

**NOAA Technical Memorandum  
NWS ER-95**



---

**A SEVERE WEATHER CLIMATOLOGY FOR THE CHARLESTON,  
SOUTH CAROLINA, WFO COUNTY WARNING AREA**

Stephen Brueske, Lauren Plourd, Matthew Volkmer  
National Weather Service Office  
Charleston, South Carolina

Scientific Services Division  
Eastern Region Headquarters  
Bohemia, New York  
July 2002

---

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF  
COMMERCE**

**National Oceanic and  
Atmospheric Administration**

**National Weather Service**

**NOAA TECHNICAL MEMORANDA**  
National Weather Service, Eastern Region Subseries

The National Weather Service Eastern Region (ER) Subseries provides an informal medium for the documentation and quick dissemination of results not appropriate, or not yet ready for formal publications. The series is used to report on work in progress, to describe technical procedures and practices, or to relate progress to a limited audience. These Technical Memoranda will report on investigations devoted primarily to regional and local problems of interest mainly to ER personnel, and usually will not be widely distributed.

Papers 1 to 22 are in the former series, ESSA Technical Memoranda, Eastern Region Technical Memoranda (ERTM); papers 23 to 37 are in the former series, ESSA Technical Memoranda, Weather Bureau Technical Memoranda (WBTM). Beginning with 38, the papers are now part of the series, NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS.

Papers 1 to 22 are available from the National Weather Service Eastern Region, Scientific Services Division, 630 Johnson Avenue, Bohemia, NY, 11716. Beginning with 23, the papers are available from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Sills Bldg., 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161. Prices vary for paper copy and for microfiche. Order by accession number shown in parentheses at end of each entry.

**ESSA Technical Memoranda**

ERTM	1	Local Uses of Vorticity Prognoses in Weather Prediction. Carlos R. Dunn. April 1965.
ERTM	2	Application of the Barotropic Vorticity Prognostic Field to the Surface Forecast Problem. Silvio G. Simplicio. July 1965.
ERTM	3	A Technique for Deriving an Objective Precipitation Forecast Scheme for Columbus, Ohio. Robert Kuessner. September 1965.
ERTM	4	Stepwise Procedures for Developing Objective Aids for Forecasting the Probability of Precipitation. Carlos R. Dunn. November 1965.
ERTM	5	A Comparative Verification of 300 mb. Winds and Temperatures Based on NMC Computer Products Before and After Manual Processing. Silvio G. Simplicio. March 1966.
ERTM	6	Evaluation of OFDEV Technical Note No. 17. Richard M. DeAngelis. March 1966.
ERTM	7	Verification of Probability of Forecasts at Hartford, Connecticut, for the Period 1963-1965. Robert B. Wassall. March 1966.
ERTM	8	Forest-Fire Pollution Episode in West Virginia, November 8-12, 1964. Robert O. Weedfall. April 1966.
ERTM	9	The Utilization of Radar in Meso-Scale Synoptic Analysis and Forecasting. Jerry D. Hill. March 1966.
ERTM	10	Preliminary Evaluation of Probability of Precipitation Experiment. Carlos R. Dunn. May 1966.
ERTM	11	Final Report. A Comparative Verification of 300 mb. Winds and Temperatures Based on NMC Computer Products Before and After Manual Processing. Silvio G. Simplicio. May 1966.
ERTM	12	Summary of Scientific Services Division Development Work in Sub-Synoptic Scale Analysis and Prediction - Fiscal Year 1966. Fred L. Zuckerberg. May 1966.
ERTM	13	A Survey of the Role of Non-Adiabatic Heating and Cooling in Relation of the Development of Mid-Latitude Synoptic Systems. Constantine Zois. July 1966.
ERTM	14	The Forecasting of Extratropical Onshore Gales at the Virginia Capes. Glen V. Sachse. August 1966.
ERTM	15	Solar Radiation and Clover Temperatures. Alex J. Kish. September 1966.
ERTM	16	The Effects of Dams, Reservoirs and Levees on River Forecasting. Richard M. Greening. September 1966.
ERTM	17	Use of Reflectivity Measurements and Reflectivity Profiles for Determining Severe Storms. Robert E. Hamilton. October 1966.
ERTM	18	Procedure for Developing a Nomograph for Use in Forecasting Phenological Events from Growing Degree Days. John C. Purvis and Milton Brown. December 1966.
ERTM	19	Snowfall Statistics for Williamsport, Pa. Jack Hummel. January 1967
ERTM	20	Forecasting Maturity Date of Snap Beans in South Carolina. Alex J. Kish. March 1967.
ERTM	21	New England Coastal Fog. Richard Fay. April 1967.
ERTM	22	Rainfall Probability at Five Stations Near Pickens, South Carolina, 1957-1963. John C. Purvis. April 1967.
WBTM ER	23	A Study of the Effect of Sea Surface Temperature on the Areal Distribution of Radar Detected Precipitation Over the South Carolina Coastal Waters. Edward Paquet. June 1967. (PB-180-612).
WBTM ER	24	An Example of Radar as a Tool in Forecasting Tidal Flooding. Edward P. Johnson. August 1967 (PB-180-613).
WBTM ER	25	Average Mixing Depths and Transport Wind Speeds over Eastern United States in 1965. Marvin E. Miller. August 1967. (PB-180-614).
WBTM ER	26	The Sleet Bright Band. Donald Marier. October 1967. (PB-180-615).
WBTM ER	27	A Study of Areas of Maximum Echo Tops in the Washington, D.C. Area During the Spring and Fall Months. Marie D. Fellechner. April 1968. (PB-179-339).
WBTM ER	28	Washington Metropolitan Area Precipitation and Temperature Patterns. C.A. Woollum and N.L. Canfield. June 1968. (PB-179-340).
WBTM ER	29	Climatological Regime of Rainfall Associated with Hurricanes after Landfall. Robert W. Schoner. June 1968. (PB-179-341).
WBTM ER	30	Monthly Precipitation - Amount Probabilities for Selected Stations in Virginia. M.H. Bailey. June 1968. (PB-179-342).
WBTM ER	31	A Study of the Areal Distribution of Radar Detected Precipitation at Charleston, S.C. S.K. Parrish and M.A. Lopez. October 1968. (PB-180-480).
WBTM ER	32	The Meteorological and Hydrological Aspects of the May 1968 New Jersey Floods. Albert S. Kachic and William Long. February 1969. (Revised July 1970). (PB-194-222).
WBTM ER	33	A Climatology of Weather that Affects Prescribed Burning Operations at Columbia, South Carolina. S.E. Wasserman and J.D. Kanupp. December 1968. (COM-71-00194).
WBTM ER	34	A Review of Use of Radar in Detection of Tornadoes and Hail. R.E. Hamilton. December 1969. (PB-188-315).
WBTM ER	35	Objective Forecasts of Precipitation Using PE Model Output. Stanley E. Wasserman. July 1970. (PB-193-378).
WBTM ER	36	Summary of Radar Echoes in 1967 Near Buffalo, N.Y. Richard K. Sheffield. September 1970. (COM-71-00310).
WBTM ER	37	Objective Mesoscale Temperature Forecasts. Joseph P. Sobel. September 1970. (COM-71-0074).

**NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS**

NWS	ER 38	Use of Primitive Equation Model Output to Forecast Winter Precipitation in the Northeast Coastal Sections of the United States. Stanley E. Wasserman and Harvey Rosenblum. December 1970. (COM-71-00138).
NWS	ER 39	A Preliminary Climatology of Air Quality in Ohio. Marvin E. Miller. January 1971. (COM-71-00204).
NWS	ER 40	Use of Detailed Radar Intensity Data in Mesoscale Surface Analysis. Robert E. Hamilton, March 1971. (COM-71-00573).
NWS	ER 41	A Relationship Between Snow Accumulation and Snow Intensity as Determined from Visibility. Stanley E. Wasserman and Daniel J. Monte. (COM-71-00763). January 1971.
NWS	ER 42	A Case Study of Radar Determined Rainfall as Compared to Rain Gage Measurements. Martin Ross. July 1971. (COM-71-00897).
NWS	ER 43	Snow Squalls in the Lee of Lake Erie and Lake Ontario. Jerry D. Hill. August 1971. (COM-72-00959).
NWS	ER 44	Forecasting Precipitation Type at Greer, South Carolina. John C. Purvis. December 1971. (COM-72-10332).
NWS	ER 45	Forecasting Type of Precipitation. Stanley E. Wasserman. January 1972. (COM-72-10316).

(CONTINUED ON INSIDE REAR COVER)

**NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS ER-95**

**A SEVERE WEATHER CLIMATOLOGY FOR THE CHARLESTON,  
SOUTH CAROLINA, WFO COUNTY WARNING AREA**

Stephen Brueske, Lauren Plourd, Matthew Volkmer  
National Weather Service Office  
Charleston, South Carolina

Scientific Services Division  
Eastern Region Headquarters  
Bohemia, New York  
July 2002

United States  
Department of Commerce  
Donald Evans  
Secretary

National Oceanic and  
Atmospheric Administration  
Conrad C. Lautenbaucher, Jr.  
Under Secretary and Administrator

National Weather Service  
John J. Kelly, Jr.  
Assistant Administrator





## Table of Contents

1. Introduction .....	6
2. Methodology .....	6
3. Severe Weather Climatology .....	6
3.1 Tornado Climatology .....	7
3.2 Severe Convective Wind Climatology .....	7
3.3 Severe Hail Climatology .....	7
4. Meteorological Reasons for Observed Climatological Patterns .....	8
5. Severe Weather Trends .....	8
6. Atypical Tornadoes .....	9
7. Conclusion .....	9
References .....	11
Figures .....	12



## 1. Introduction

The operational staff of the National Weather Service (NWS) Weather Forecast Office (WFO) in Charleston, South Carolina issues severe weather warnings for 20 counties in eastern South Carolina and Georgia (Fig. 1). New technologies, such as the AWIPS (Advanced Weather Interactive Processing System) and the WSR-88D (Weather Surveillance Radar-1988 Doppler) have enhanced the ability to assess the potential for severe weather and to interrogate severe weather when it occurs. The forecast process employed by local forecast offices and the Storm Prediction Center (SPC) of the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP), depends upon the scientific evaluation of the evolving pre-storm environment, severe weather pattern recognition, and climatology (Johns and Doswell 1992). This study provides one of these necessary tools: a detailed severe weather climatology for the Charleston, SC, County Warning Area (CWA).

The motivation to research and document this severe weather climatology of the Charleston, SC, CWA (hereafter referred to as "Charleston CWA"), was to provide the essential tool of climatology to better prepare forecasters to anticipate the frequency, timing, and magnitude of severe weather events, and to provide a baseline for assessing the likelihood and type of severe weather. This study also reveals severe weather trends and highlights the importance of anticipating atypical severe weather. This is especially important if each NWS forecast office eventually takes on additional severe weather-related responsibilities as a result of the planned NWS Convective Watch Decentralization (Alexander et al. 1997).

## 2. Methodology

Data used in this research were obtained from the NWS Storm Prediction Center's Verification Unit, using a statistical database entitled CLIMO (Vescio 1995). The CLIMO program stratified all severe weather events by type, time of occurrence, intensity, and in the case of tornadoes, path length and path width. Locations of severe events were plotted on maps of the Charleston CWA using a program titled SEVERE PLOT (Hart 1992; 1993). The total number of plotted events is less than the total number of reports due to the lack of specific location information in some severe weather reports.

Three different types of severe weather were investigated: tornadoes, severe convective wind, and severe hail. Each tornadic event was recorded as a tornado segment, defined as a portion of a tornado track through a given county. The Hart (1992; 1993) database contains tornado segments, based on counties. Verification is based on these county segments, rather than an entire track. A tornado that crosses uninterrupted through four counties is considered four events; see the 10/15 rule for severe verification <https://verification.nws.noaa.gov/verification/severe/policy/1015rule.shtml>. Therefore, the total number of tornadoes was less than the number of tornado segments represented in this database.

A severe convective wind event was defined as either an event with a convective wind gust of 50 knots or greater, or visible structural damage due to convective wind. Severe hail was defined as hail greater than or equal to 0.75 inches in diameter. The tornado data covered the period from 1950 through 1993, while both severe convective wind data and large hail data covered the period from 1955 through 1993, excluding 1972 when no records were stored.

### **3. Severe Weather Climatology**

A total of 745 severe weather reports were recorded in the Charleston CWA during the period studied. This number represents 112 tornado segment reports (Fig. 2), 478 severe convective wind reports (Fig. 3), and 155 severe hail reports (Fig. 4). The distribution of reports was fairly uniform across the county warning area, though reports were more concentrated in highly populated regions around Charleston, Beaufort and Savannah. Fewer reports were noted across less populated areas, especially east of Interstate 95 in Georgia, though no large data-void regions existed in the record. This differs from the severe weather climatology for the Jacksonville, FL, CWA given in Anthony, 1994, where there was an obvious void in reports from the Okefenokee swamp area.

The following sections summarize the climatological record of each type of severe weather event. The data provide a baseline for anticipating the likelihood, severity, and timing of the most frequently observed severe weather in the Charleston CWA.

#### **3.1 Tornado Climatology**

In the Charleston CWA, tornadoes were most frequently reported in April and May (Fig. 5). Forty percent of all tornado reports occurred during these months; 22 percent in May, and 18 percent in April. Minimal tornado activity was reported in the late fall through the winter, with no tornadoes reported in December. Kevin Knupp (1992) identified enhanced storm-relative helicity as a primary environmental factor involving tornado formation in the spring, when the polar jet stream is further south. This enhancement may help account for the significant rise in April and May tornado reports.

Most tornado injuries occurred in March, April, and May, with a secondary maximum in September (Fig. 6). May was the primary month for tornado injuries and the only month since 1950 in which deaths were reported. Eighty-five injuries and three deaths were attributed to tornadoes during the 43-year period. Fifty-eight percent of the injuries were caused by F1 tornadoes (Fujita 1971); F2 and F3 tornadoes accounted for 39 percent, and F0 tornadoes caused the remaining 3 percent of injuries (not shown). The 18 injuries in September were due to two separate tornadoes spawned by hurricanes that passed near South Carolina, but did not make landfall. The first tornado, spawned by Hurricane Donna in 1960, caused 10 injuries, while the second tornado, spawned by Hurricane Eloise in 1975, injured eight.

Tornadoes were most frequently reported to occur between 1400 and 1700 EST (Fig. 7). Two-thirds of all tornado events occurred between 1100 and 1900 EST with minimal activity reported during the late evening through morning hours. The afternoon and early evening peak demonstrates the importance of surface heating in maximizing the convective available potential energy (CAPE) available for severe storm development. Note that this statement is true, regardless of whether tornadoes or tornado segments are considered. Even though the multi-county tornadoes add a small number segments to a particular month or time of day, theoretically, the storms producing the multi-county tornadoes are stronger.

Tornadoes were generally weak, with short path lengths and narrow path widths. Eighty-two



percent of reported tornadoes were classified as F1 or less, and only one F3 was reported during the 43-year period (Fig. 8). No tornadoes stronger than F3 were documented in these data; however, an F4 tornado did hit southeast Georgia in 1929 (Grazulis 1993) and is discussed in a later section. Sixty-two percent of the tornadoes had path lengths less than 1 mile and 84 percent of the path lengths were less than 3.2 miles (Fig. 9). Path widths were narrow, with 94 percent of tornadoes having path widths less than 176 yards (Fig. 10).

### **3.2 Severe Convective Wind Climatology**

May, June, and July were the three months with the highest number of recorded severe convective wind events, with July accounting for one quarter of the total severe wind events (Fig. 11). No damaging wind events were reported during December. Physical reasons for this midsummer maximum may include the fact that precipitable water values and tropopause heights are near their highest for the year, allowing deep, moist convection, capable of producing wet microbursts. Johns and Doswell (1992) note that deep convection and large precipitable water amounts help to enhance damaging winds by promoting strong downdrafts. A sharp decline in severe wind reports is noted in August may be due to the climatologically stronger Bermuda-centered high pressure system that produces large-scale subsidence and tends to inhibit the formation of deep convection.

The most frequent time of occurrence for damaging wind events was 1700 EST, with 63 percent of wind events reported between 1500 and 1900 EST (Fig 12). There is a relatively uniform distribution of minimal reports between 2300 EST and 1100 EST. The midafternoon to early evening maxima in damaging wind reports indicate the important role surface heating plays in increasing the CAPE necessary for pulse-type severe thunderstorms.

### **3.3 Severe Hail Climatology**

Severe hail reports were highest during April, May, and June (Fig. 13) with no severe hail reported from September through January. Johns and Doswell (1992) have identified the melting level and height of the wet-bulb zero as two parameters that affect hail stone size. One reason for the April maximum in hail reports may be that lower wet-bulb zero heights and a climatologically cooler layer from the surface to the freezing level exists in April, as opposed to the summer months when higher wet-bulb zero levels and a warmer layer from the surface to the freezing level typically occur.

Not surprisingly, most severe hail events occurred in the afternoon and early evening hours (Fig. 14), just as with tornado events. Eighty-eight percent of severe hail events occurred between 1400 EST and 2200 EST, with a peak at 1600 EST. A minimal number of hail events were reported during the overnight and morning hours. As mentioned, late afternoon is a time when the CAPE for thunderstorm development is usually maximized; notably, though, it is also a time when sea-breeze fronts frequently provide a convergence boundary which aids in the initiation and enhancement of thunderstorms.

## **4. Meteorological Reasons for Observed Climatological Patterns**

As elsewhere, the timing and intensity of severe weather in the Charleston CWA are related to the availability of moisture, instability, lift, and vertical wind shear. The first three parameters are typically necessary for the initiation of convection; the fourth to establish organization and persistence of thunderstorms (Weisman and Klemp 1984). A closer examination of typical weather conditions in the Charleston CWA shows a lack of one or more of these conditions throughout most the year.

Climatologically, spring is the most active severe weather season, and the time of year when tornadoes and hail are most commonly observed. Moisture is typically abundant; however, significant low-level atmospheric instability is often lacking along the coast due to the advection of relatively cool, moist air off the Atlantic Ocean where water temperatures are typically in the lower 50's. Fronts that penetrate the region provide a lifting mechanism for convection, while significant vertical wind shear, which helps to organize convection, is common due to the seasonal proximity of the polar jet stream. Significant vertical wind shear, strong frontal lifting mechanisms, and relatively low 0°C wet-bulb heights are primarily responsible for the tornado and hail report maxima during April and May.

Summer is, in particular, a time of abundant moisture with precipitable water amounts often near 2 inches. In addition, strong surface heating creates highly unstable afternoon conditions with a great deal of CAPE. In spite of these destabilizing effects, the Bermuda High's strong influence over the area at this time of year effectively blocks any frontal penetration; few lifting mechanisms and little vertical wind shear exist. The sea-breeze front is the only consistent lifting mechanism during the summer that tends to focus convection. With high instabilities and little environmental wind shear, pulse thunderstorms are frequent and provide the primary summertime severe weather threat, wet microbursts. This is evident in the July maximum for severe wind events and the less frequent number of tornado and severe hail reports relative to spring.

Fall is a time of decreasing occurrence of severe convective weather. Moisture availability remains, but shorter days and cooler surface temperatures lead to an increasingly stable atmosphere, in contrast to summer instability. The temperature gradient between the land and ocean diminishes, so any sea breeze that develops is usually weak. The Bermuda High continues to inhibit the penetration of fronts and the polar jet stream, so significant lifting mechanisms and vertical wind shear are infrequent.

Winter is a time of sufficient moisture but inadequate instability for convection, due to relatively cool surface temperatures. Fronts that do enter the area provide a lifting mechanism for convection, while significant vertical wind shear often exists as the jet stream descends to lower latitudes. Atmospheric stability is at its yearly maximum during the winter and this stability is evident in the lack of severe weather reports.

## **5. Severe Weather Trends**

The total number of severe weather reports in the Charleston CWA increased sharply during the last eleven years of the 43-year period studied; fifty-five percent of the events (412 of 745) occurred from 1983 to 1993 (Fig. 15). This impressive trend may be attributed to numerous non-meteorological causes: improved technology for severe weather detection, more aggressive verification procedures, improved spotter networks, an increase in public awareness and knowledge

of severe weather, and an increase in the population and urbanization of coastal areas. Between 1970 and 1990, the population of the South Carolina counties in the Charleston CWA increased by over 50 percent (South Carolina Office of Research and Statistics 1998). Interestingly, while the total number of recorded severe weather events has increased, the average yearly number of *tornadic* events has decreased slightly during the same period (Fig.16). This is likely due to improved damage surveys and increased awareness of the difference between straight-line wind damage and tornado damage, leading to better identification of severe convective wind events that previously were erroneously identified as tornado damage.

## **6. Atypical Tornadoes**

The data clearly show that strong tornadoes (F3 or higher) are highly atypical in the Charleston CWA. While unusual, they pose the deadliest threat to the region and are a difficult forecast challenge due to their anomalous nature. Two of the deadliest tornado events in the history of the Charleston CWA were highly atypical, and occurred before the time period covered by data used for this paper. The deadliest tornado in the history of the CWA occurred in Georgia on 25 April 1929, at 2200 EST; at least 40 people were killed and 300 injured. The tornado was highly unusual in its time of occurrence, size, and duration; it is also the only F4 tornado ever recorded in the CWA. It had an average path width of 800 yards, reaching a path width of 1 mile in Bulloch County, where 31 people were killed. The 55-mile path length is the longest on record (Grazulis 1993).

Another significant tornado outbreak occurred in and around Charleston on 29 September 1938, at approximately 0800 EST, a climatologically rare time of day for tornado development. Five tornadoes (three F1s and two F2s) were reported in the Charleston area. The two F2 tornadoes occurred within 10 minutes of each other and followed parallel tracks 1.7 miles apart through the city. The first tornado occurred at 0750 EST, killing five people and injuring 20 others. Its path was fairly typical, with an average width of 100 yards and length of 2 miles. The second tornado occurred at 0800 EST and caused 27 deaths and 80 injuries along a 3-mile path that averaged 70 yards wide (Grazulis 1993).

Although these types of tornadoes are climatologically rare, they can, and do, form under the proper conditions. This knowledge, combined with environmental analysis using observations, Doppler radar, satellite, and model data will allow forecasters to anticipate and warn for these atypical severe weather events.

## **7. Conclusion**

This study provides valuable insight into the climatologically favored months and time of occurrence of various types of severe weather in the Charleston CWA. As such, the information it has yielded is a valuable tool for forecasters in the Charleston, SC, WFO. Improving knowledge of the pre-storm convective environment in the context of a detailed local climatology can only improve on the overall severe weather forecast and warning effort.

Severe weather reports stratified by month and severe weather type are presented in Figure 17. Similar to the Anthony (1994) study, the Charleston CWA data show that tornadoes occurred most

often during April and May (Fig. 17), most frequently during the afternoon. In this study, the only reported deaths occurred between 1950 and 1993, and the most injuries occurred in May. Most tornadoes were weak, with short path lengths and narrow path widths.

The data also indicated an increasing trend for severe wind reports from April through July, while severe hail showed the opposite trend, with a maximum in April and a decreasing trend through July (Fig. 17). Climatologically, the most likely months for severe hail were April and May, while severe convective wind events showed an increasing trend from April through July.

The yearly totals of all severe weather reports have shown a marked increase since the 1980s, and the authors suspect that recent years may be more typical of the number of severe weather events that occur in the Charleston CWA. Non-meteorological factors such as aggressive verification procedures, extensive spotter networks, increased population density, and improved detection technologies have allowed better identification and documentation of most severe weather events.

Results of this study were also similar to those revealed in a study conducted by Knupp (1992) for the entire Southeastern United States (Knupp 1992). One of the most significant differences is the pronounced lack of F2 or greater tornadoes in the Charleston CWA relative to the rest of the Southeastern United States. This research suggests additional studies are needed to explain the environmental parameters responsible for this phenomena.


## References

- Alexander, W., E. Jacks, L. Laurence, S. Keighton, L. Phillips B. Browning, B. Grant, E. Quetone, R. Prentice, J. Griffin, P. Parrish, W. Abshire, D. Wesley and B. Johns, 1997: Preparing Forecasters for the Convective Watch Decentralization: A Training Plan. *Internal NWS draft training plan*. 35 pp.
- Anthony, R. W., 1994: Severe Thunderstorm Climatological Data for the New Jacksonville, Florida, County Warning Area. *NOAA Tech. Memo. NWS SR-155* 19 pp.
- Fujita, T. T., 1971: Proposed Characterization of Tornadoes and Hurricanes by Area and Intensity. *SMRP Res. Paper No. 91*, University of Chicago.
- Grazulis, T.P., 1993: *Significant Tornadoes 1680-1991*. Environmental Films, pp. 825, 881.
- Hart, J. A., 1992: Severe Plot Version 1.0: SELS Severe Weather Archive Display Program. NCEP Storm Prediction Center, Norman, OK.
- Hart, J. A., 1993: SVRPLOT: A new method of accessing and manipulating the NSSFC severe weather database. *Preprints, 17<sup>th</sup> Conf. On Severe Local Storms*, St. Louis, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 40-41.
- Johns, R. H. and C.A. Doswell III, 1992: Severe Local Storms Forecasting. *Weather and Forecasting*, **7**, 588.
- Knupp, K.R., 1992: Climatology of Severe Weather Events for the Southeastern United States. *Southeast Regional Climate Center Research Paper #040192* pp. 30,36.
- Schmocker, G.K., D.W. Sharp and B.C. Hagemeyer, 1990: Three Initial Climatological Studies for WFO Melbourne, Florida: A First Step in Preparation for Future Operations. *NOAA Tech. Memo. NWS SR-132*. 52pp.
- South Carolina Office of Research and Statistics, cited 1998: Statistical Abstract of South Carolina 1995 (Population Data). { Available online from [www.state.sc.us/drss/pop/pop3.html](http://www.state.sc.us/drss/pop/pop3.html) }
- Vescio, M. D., 1995: CLIMO: Software to generate severe weather statistics for NWS County Warning Areas. NCEP Storm Prediction Center, Norman, OK.
- Weisman, M L. and J. B. Klemp (1984): The structure and classification of numerically simulated convective storms in directionally varying wind shears. *Monthly Weather Review*, 112, 2479-2498.

## Figures

**Figure 1.** The 20 counties that define the County Warning Area of the Charleston, SC, WFO.


**Figure 2.** Plot of the approximate location of tornadoes reported from 1955 through 1993 within the Charleston CWA. Inverted triangles identify tornado touchdowns and line segments identify tornado paths.




**Figure 3.** Plot of approximate locations of severe convective wind events within the Charleston CWA from 1955 to 1993, excluding 1972.




**Figure 4.** Plot of approximate locations of reported severe hail events within the Charleston CWA from 1955 to 1993, excluding 1972.




**Figure 5.** Monthly distribution of all tornado segments reported within the Charleston CWA from 1950 to 1993. Total tornado segments is 112.




**Figure 6.** Total deaths and injuries caused by tornadoes within the Charleston CWA from 1950 to 1993. Injuries totaled 85 and deaths totaled 3.




**Figure 7.** Hourly distribution of all tornado segments reported within the Charleston CWA from 1950 to 1993. Hours labeled on the x-axis are in Eastern Standard Time.




**Figure 8.** Tornado segments by F-scale within the Charleston CWA from 1950 to 1993.




**Figure 9.** Tornado segments by path length (miles) within the Charleston CWA from 1950 to 1993.




**Figure 10.** Tornado segments by path width (yards) within the Charleston CWA from 1950 to 1993.




**Figure 11.** Monthly distribution of all damaging convective wind events within the Charleston CWA from 1955 to 1993, excluding 1972. The total number of events is 478.




**Figure 12.** Hourly distribution of all damaging convective wind events within the Charleston CWA from 1955 to 1993, excluding 1972. Hours labeled on the x-axis are in Eastern Standard Time.




**Figure 13.** Monthly distribution of all large hail events reported within the Charleston CWA from 1955 to 1993, excluding 1972. Total number of events is 155.



**Figure 14.** Hourly distribution of all large hail events reported within the Charleston CWA from 1955 to 1993, excluding 1972. Hours labeled on the x-axis are in Eastern Standard Time.




**Figure 15.** Yearly totals of all severe events reported within the Charleston CWA from 1950 to 1993. Note that damaging wind and hail event reports begin in 1955 on the chart and were missing in 1972.



**Figure 16.** Yearly totals of tornado segments, damaging winds and large hail events reported within the Charleston CWA from 1950 to 1993. Note that the damaging wind and large hail reports do not begin until 1955 on the chart and were missing in 1972.





**Figure 17.** Monthly totals of severe weather reports, stratified by severe weather type.





NWS ER 46 An Objective Method of Forecasting Summertime Thunderstorms. John F. Townsend and Russell J. Younkin. May 1972. (COM-72-10765).

NWS ER 47 An Objective Method of Preparing Cloud Cover Forecasts. James R. Sims. August 1972. (COM-72-11382).

NWS ER 48 Accuracy of Automated Temperature Forecasts for Philadelphia as Related to Sky Condition and Wind Direction. Robert B. Wassall. September 1972. (COM-72-11473).

NWS ER 49 A Procedure for Improving National Meteorological Center Objective Precipitation Forecasts. Joseph A. Ronco, Jr. November 1972. (COM-73-10132).

NWS ER 50 PEATMOS Probability of Precipitation Forecasts as an Aid in Predicting Precipitation Amounts. Stanley E. Wasserman. December 1972. (COM-73-10243).

NWS ER 51 Frequency and Intensity of Freezing Rain/Drizzle in Ohio. Marvin E. Miller. February 1973. (COM-73-10570).

NWS ER 52 Forecast and Warning Utilization of Radar Remote Facsimile Data. Robert E. Hamilton. July 1973. (COM-73-11275).

NWS ER 53 Summary of 1969 and 1970 Public Severe Thunderstorm and Tornado Watches Within the National Weather Service, Eastern Region. Marvin E. Miller and Lewis H. Ramey. October 1973. (COM-74-10160).

NWS ER 54 A Procedure for Improving National Meteorological Center Objective Precipitation Forecasts - Winter Season. Joseph A. Ronco, Jr. November 1973. (COM-74-10200).

NWS ER 55 Cause and Prediction of Beach Erosion. Stanley E. Wasserman and David B. Gilhousen. December 1973. (COM-74-10036).

NWS ER 56 Biometeorological Factors Affecting the Development and Spread of Plant Diseases. V.J. Valli. July 1974. (COM-74-11625/AS).

NWS ER 57 Heavy Fall and Winter Rain In The Carolina Mountains. David B. Gilhousen. October 1974. (COM-74-11761/AS).

NWS ER 58 An Analysis of Forecasters' Propensities In Maximum/Minimum Temperature Forecasts. I. Randy Racer. November 1974. (COM-75-10063/AS).

NWS ER 59 Digital Radar Data and its Application in Flash Flood Potential. David D. Sisk. March 1975. (COM-75-10582/AS).

NWS ER 60 Use of Radar Information in Determining Flash Flood Potential. Stanley E. Wasserman. December 1975. (PB250071/AS).

NWS ER 61 Improving Short-Range Precipitation Guidance During the Summer Months. David B. Gilhousen. March 1976. (PB256427).

NWS ER 62 Locally Heavy Snow Downwind from Cooling Towers. Reese E. Otts. December 1976. (PB263390/AS).

NWS ER 63 Snow in West Virginia. Marvin E. Miller. January 1977. (PB265419/AS).

NWS ER 64 Wind Forecasting for the Monongahela National Forest. Donald E. Risher. August 1977. (PB272138/AS).

NWS ER 65 A Procedure for Spraying Spruce Budworms in Maine during Stable Wind Conditions. Monte Glovinsky. May 1980. (PB80-203243).

NWS ER 66 Contributing Factors to the 1980-81 Water Supply Drought, Northeast U.S. Solomon G. Summer. June 1981. (PB82-172974).

NWS ER 67 A Computer Calculation and Display System for SLOSH Hurricane Surge Model Data. John F. Townsend. May 1984. (PB84-198753).

NWS ER 68 A Comparison Among Various Thermodynamic Parameters for the Prediction of Convective Activity. Hugh M. Stone. April 1985. (PB85-206217/AS).

NWS ER 69 A Comparison Among Various Thermodynamic Parameters for the Prediction of Convective Activity, Part II. Hugh M. Stone. December 1985. (PB86-142353/AS).

NWS ER 70 Hurricane Gloria's Potential Storm Surge. Anthony G. Gigi and David A. Wert. July 1986. (PB86-226644/AS).

NWS ER 71 Washington Metropolitan Wind Study 1981-1986. Clarence Burke, Jr. and Carl C. Ewald. February 1987. (PB87-151908/AS).

NWS ER 72 Mesoscale Forecasting Topics. Hugh M. Stone. March 1987. (PB87-180246/AS).

NWS ER 73 A Procedure for Improving First Period Model Output Statistics Precipitation Forecasts. Antonio J. Lacroix and Joseph A. Ronco, Jr. April 1987. (PB87-180238/AS).

NWS ER 74 The Climatology of Lake Erie's South Shoreline. John Kwiatkowski. June 1987. (PB87-205514/AS).

NWS ER 75 Wind Shear as a Predictor of Severe Weather for the Eastern United States. Hugh M. Stone. January 1988. (PB88-157144).

NWS ER 76 Is There A Temperature Relationship Between Autumn and the Following Winter? Anthony Gigi. February 1988. (PB88-173224).

NWS ER 77 River Stage Data for South Carolina. Clara Cillentine. April 1988. (PB88-201991/AS).

NWS ER 78 National Weather Service Philadelphia Forecast Office 1987 NOAA Weather Radio Survey & Questionnaire. Robert P. Wanton. October 1988. (PB89-111785/AS).

NWS ER 79 An Examination of NGM Low Level Temperature. Joseph A. Ronco, Jr. November 1988. (PB89-122543/AS).

NWS ER 80 Relationship of Wind Shear, Buoyancy, and Radar Tops to Severe Weather 1988. Hugh M. Stone. November 1988. (PB89-1222419/AS).

NWS ER 81 Relation of Wind Field and Buoyancy to Rainfall Inferred from Radar. Hugh M. Stone. April 1989. (PB89-208326/AS).

NWS ER 82 Second National Winter Weather Workshop, 26-30 Sept. 1988: Postprints. Laurence G. Lee. June 1989. (PB90-147414/AS).

NWS ER 83 A Historical Account of Tropical Cyclones that Have Impacted North Carolina Since 1586. James D. Stevenson. July 1990. (PB90-259201).

NWS ER 84 A Seasonal Analysis of the Performance of the Probability of Precipitation Type Guidance System. George J. Maglaras and Barry S. Goldsmith. September 1990. (PB93-160802).

NWS ER 85 The Use of ADAP to Examine Warm and Quasi-Stationary Frontal Events in the Northeastern United States. David R. Vallee. July 1991. (PB91-225037).

NWS ER 86 Rhode Island Hurricanes and Tropical Storms A Fifty-Six Year Summary 1936-0991. David R. Vallee. March 1993. (PB93-162006).

NWS ER 87 Post-print Volume, Third National Heavy Precipitation Workshop, 16-20 Nov. 1992. April 1993. (PB93-186625).

NWS ER 88 A Synoptic and Mesoscale Examination of the Northern New England Winter Storm of 29-30 January 1990. Robert A. Marine and Steven J. Capriola. July 1994. (PB94-209426).

NWS ER 89 An Initial Comparison of Manual and Automated Surface Observing System Observations at the Atlantic City, New Jersey, International Airport. James C. Hayes and Stephan C. Kuhl. January 1995.

NWS ER 90 Numerical Simulation Studies of the Mesoscale Environment Conducive to the Raleigh Tornado. Michael L. Kaplan, Robert A. Rozumalski, Ronald P. Weglarz, Yuh-Lang Lin, Steven Businger, and Rodney F. Gonski. November 1995.

NWS ER 91 A Climatology of Non-convective High Wind Events in Western New York State. Thomas A. Niziol and Thomas J. Paone. April 2000.

NWS ER 92 Tropical Cyclones Affecting North Carolina Since 1586 - An Historical Perspective. James E. Hudgins. April 2000.

NWS ER 93 A Severe Weather Climatology for the Wilmington, NC WFO County Warning Area. Carl R., Morgan. October 2001.

NWS ER 94 Surface-based Rain, Wind, and Pressure Fields in Tropical Cyclones over North Carolina since 1989. Joel Cline. June 2002.

NWS ER 95 A Severe Weather Climatology for the Charleston, South Carolina, WFO County Warning Area. Brueske et al. July 2002.

## NOAA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration was established as part of the Department of Commerce on October 3, 1970. The mission responsibilities of NOAA are to assess the socioeconomic impact of natural and technological changes in the environment and to monitor and predict the state of the solid Earth, the oceans and their living resources, the atmosphere, and the space environment of the Earth.

The major components of NOAA regularly produce various types of scientific and technical information in the following kinds of publications:

**PROFESSIONAL PAPERS**--Important definitive research results, major techniques, and special investigations.


**CONTRACT AND GRANT REPORTS**--Reports prepared by contractors or grantees under NOAA sponsorship.

**ATLAS**--Presentation of analyzed data generally in the form of maps showing distribution of rainfall, chemical and physical conditions of oceans and atmosphere, distribution of fishes and marine mammals, ionospheric conditions, etc.

**TECHNICAL SERVICE PUBLICATIONS**--Reports containing data, observations, instructions, etc. A partial listing includes data serials; prediction and outlook periodicals; technical manuals, training papers, planning reports, and information serials; and miscellaneous technical publications.

**TECHNICAL REPORTS**--Journal quality with extensive details, mathematical developments, or data listings.

**TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS**--Reports of preliminary, partial, or negative research or technology results, interim instructions, and the like.



Information on availability of NOAA publications can be obtained from:

NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
5285 PORT ROYAL ROAD  
SPRINGFIELD, VA 22161